

**Vaz, Gina Anna.** Female genital cutting: a philosophic approach. *Penn Bioethics Journal* 2008 April 2; 4(2): 36-39. NRCBL: 9.5.5; 9.5.7; 21.7; 1.1; 7.1. SC: an.

**Vercler, Christian.** Pregnant with thyrotoxicosis — ethical options. *Today's Christian Doctor* 2008 Summer; 39(2): 30-31. NRCBL: 9.5.5; 14.1; 12.3; 1.2. SC: cs.

**Wall, L. Lewis.** Ethical issues in vesico-vaginal fistula care and research. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics* 2007 November; 99(Supplement 1): S32-S39. NRCBL: 9.5.5; 4.1.2.

**Wall, L. Lewis; Arrowsmith, Steven D.; Hancock, Brian D.** Ethical aspects of urinary diversion for women with irreparable obstetric fistulas in developing countries. *International Urogynecology Journal and Pelvic Floor Dysfunction* 2008 July; 19(7): 1027-1030. NRCBL: 9.5.5; 8.3.1; 21.1.

**Wallis, Katherine.** Cervical screening legislation is unethical and has the potential to be counter-productive. *New Zealand Medical Journal* 2007 November 30; 120(1266): U2840. NRCBL: 9.5.5; 8.4; 1.3.5. SC: le.

**Whiteside, James L.** Is evidence an ethic? *International Urogynecology Journal and Pelvic Floor Dysfunction* 2008 June; 19(6): 745-746. NRCBL: 9.5.5.

**Williams, Helen O.** The ethical debate of maternal choice and autonomy in cesarean delivery. *Clinics in Perinatology* 2008 June; 35(2): 455-622, viii. NRCBL: 9.5.5; 9.5.8; 8.3.4. SC: rv.

**Woodhall, Sarah C.; Lehtinen, Matti; Verho, Tiina; Huhtala, Heini; Hokkanen, Mari; Kosunen, Elise.** Anticipated acceptance of HPV vaccination at the baseline of implementation: a survey of parental and adolescent knowledge and attitudes in Finland. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 2007 May; 40(5): 466-469. NRCBL: 9.5.5; 9.5.1; 9.7. SC: em.

**Zimmerman, Deena Rachel.** Hormonal intervention for the prevention of chuppat niddah. *Jewish Medical Ethics and Halacha* 2007 December; 6(1): 11-14. NRCBL: 9.5.5; 1.2; 9.7; 10. Identifiers: menstrual cycle.

**CARING** See PROFESSIONAL ETHICS; PROFESSIONAL ETHICS IN MEDICINE; PROFESSIONAL ETHICS IN NURSING; PATIENT RELATIONSHIPS

## CHIMERAS AND HYBRIDS

See also GENETIC ENGINEERING AND BIOTECHNOLOGY; STEM CELL RESEARCH AND TRANSPLANTATION; SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY

**Bahadur, G.; Iqbal, M.; Malik, S.; Sanyal, A.; Wafa, R.; Noble, R.** Admixed human embryos and stem cells: legislative, ethical and scientific advances. *Reproductive*

*Biomedicine Online* 2008; 17(Supplement 1): 25-32. 46 refs. NRCBL: 18.7; 15.1; 18.5.4; 18.1; 22.1. SC: le.

Keywords: \*chimeras; \*embryonic stem cells; \*embryos; \*legal aspects; \*moral status; \*public policy; \*research embryo creation; animal groups; embryo research; genetically modified organisms; human characteristics; induced pluripotent stem cells; moral policy; personhood; policy making; public opinion; public participation; regulation; risks and benefits; species specificity; terminology; wedge argument; Keyword Identifiers: \*Great Britain; House of Commons Select Committee on Science and Technology; Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority

**Balls, Michael.** Experiments on humans and animals: can the ends justify the means? [editorial]. *ATLA: Alternatives to Laboratory Animals* 2008 July; 36(3): 263-264. 11 refs. NRCBL: 15.1; 18.1; 22.1; 22.2; 18.5.4; 18.7. SC: le.

Keywords: \*chimeras; \*embryonic stem cells; \*legislation; adult stem cells; animal experimentation; embryo research; embryos; ethical theory; government regulation; moral policy; politics; public policy; risks and benefits; Keyword Identifiers: \*Great Britain; \*House of Commons; \*Human Fertilisation and Embryology Bill 2008 (Great Britain); Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (Great Britain); Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority

**Balls, Michael.** The production of admixed animal-human embryos: is it necessary, or merely desirable? [editorial]. *ATLA: Alternatives to Laboratory Animals* 2008 May; 36(2): 119-121. 14 refs. NRCBL: 15.1; 18.1; 22.1; 18.5.4; 18.7. SC: le.

Keywords: \*chimeras; \*embryonic stem cells; \*government regulation; \*legislation; adult stem cells; animal experimentation; attitudes; embryo research; embryos; genetically modified animals; moral policy; politics; public opinion; public participation; public policy; researchers; Keyword Identifiers: \*Great Britain; \*House of Lords; \*Human Fertilisation and Embryology Bill 2008 (Great Britain); Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority; House of Commons

**Baylis, Françoise.** Animal eggs for stem cell research: a path not worth taking. *American Journal of Bioethics* 2008 December; 8(12): 18-32. 88 refs. 16 fn. NRCBL: 15.1; 18.1; 18.7; 18.5.4; 22.1. SC: an; rv. Comments: See comments in: *American Journal of Bioethics* 2008 December; 8(12): 33-47.

Keywords: \*chimeras; \*embryo research; \*embryonic stem cells; \*ethical analysis; \*induced pluripotent stem cells; \*moral policy; alternatives; animal rights; cloning; coercion; dehumanization; embryo disposition; embryos; human dignity; in vitro fertilization; justice; moral status; nuclear transfer techniques; ovum donors; parthenogenesis; public policy; regenerative medicine; remuneration; research priorities; risk; species specificity; wedge argument; women; Keyword Identifiers: Great Britain; Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority

Abstract: In January 2008, the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority (HFEA) (London, UK) issued two 1-year licenses for cytoplasmic hybrid embryo research. This article situates the HFEA's decision in its wider scientific and political context in which, until quite recently, the debate about human embryonic stem cell research has focused narrowly on the moral status of the developing human embryo. Next, ethical arguments against crossing species boundaries with humans are canvassed. Finally, a new argument about the risks of harm to